arXiv:1011.5547v2 [math.FA] 29 Nov 2010

SPECTRAL ESTIMATES FOR $2D$ PERIODIC JACOBI OPERATORS

ANTON KUTSENKO

Abstract. For $2D$ periodic Jacobi operators we obtain the estimate of the Lebesgue measure of the spectrum and estimates of edges of spectral bands.

1. Introduction

We consider a self-adjoint $2D$ periodic Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J}:\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z})\to\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$(\mathcal{J}f)_n=A_nf_{n+1}+B_ny_n+A_{n-1}^*f_{n-1},\quad f=(f_n)\in\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2),\quad f_n\in\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}),\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.1}$$

Operators $A_n,B_n:\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\to\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ are $1D$ periodic Jacobi matrices given by

$$A_n=SA_n^0+A_n^1+(SA_n^0)^*,\quad A_n^j=\operatorname{diag}(a_{nm}^j)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}},\quad j=0,1, \tag{1.2}$$

$$B_n=SB_n^0+B_n^1+(SB_n^0)^*,\quad B_n^j=\operatorname{diag}(b_{nm}^j)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}},\quad j=0,1, \tag{1.3}$$

where $S:\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\to\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a shift operator ($(Sz)_m=z_{m-1}$). Complex periodic sequences a^j,b^j satisfy

$$a_{n+p_1,m+p_2}^j=a_{nm}^j,\quad b_{n+p_1,m+p_2}^j=b_{nm}^j,\quad b_{nm}^1\in\mathbb{R},\quad\forall(n,m)\in\mathbb{Z}^2,\quad j=0,1, \tag{1.4}$$

here $p_1,p_2\in\mathbb{N}$ are periods, we suppose that $p_1,p_2\geqslant 3$.

For example if $A_n=I$ (identical operator), $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $B_n=S+S^{-1}+B_n^1$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ for some diagonal B_n^1 then the corresponding operator $\mathcal{J}$ is a discrete $2D$ Schröedinger operator.

By Theorem 2.1 the spectrum of operator $\mathcal{J}$ is

$$\sigma(\mathcal{J})=\bigcup_{(x,y)\in[0,2\pi]^2}\{\lambda_n(x,y)\}_1^{p_1p_2}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\lambda_1\leqslant...\leqslant\lambda_{p_1p_2}$ are eigenvalues of $p_1p_2\times p_1p_2$ matrix J (2.2). Now we give estimates of λ_n and estimates of Lebesgue measure of spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{J})$.

Theorem 1.1. *i) The following estimates are fulfilled*

$$\lambda_n^-\leqslant\lambda_n(x,y)\leqslant\lambda_n^+,\quad n\in[1,p_1p_2],\quad(x,y)\in[0,2\pi]^2, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\lambda_n^\pm$ are given by (3.16) and does not depend on (x,y).

ii) The Lebesgue measure of the spectrum satisfy

$$\operatorname{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))\leqslant\min_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}r_{\alpha,\beta}, \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$r_{\alpha,\beta}=4\sum_{n=1}^{p_1}|b_{n\beta}^0|+8\sum_{n=1}^{p_1}|a_{n\beta}^0|-8|a_{\alpha\beta}^0|+8\sum_{m=1}^{p_2}|a_{\alpha m}^0|+4\sum_{m=1}^{p_2}|a_{\alpha m}^1|. \tag{1.8}$$

Date: November 30, 2010.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81Q10 (34L40 47E05 47N50).

Key words and phrases. $2D$ periodic Jacobi operator, Jacobi matrix, spectral estimates, measure of spectrum.

Remark 1. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is a 3-diagonal matrix then we can write simple estimate of the Lebesgue measure of spectrum

$$\mathrm{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))\leqslant 2\|\mathcal{J}\|\leqslant 2(2\max_n\|A_n\|+\max_n\|B_n\|)\leqslant \tag{1.9}$$

$$\max_n(8\max_m|a^0_{nm}|+4\max_m|a^1_{nm}|)+\max_n(4\max_m|b^0_{nm}|+2\max_m|b^1_{nm}|). \tag{1.10}$$

For many cases estimate (1.7)-(1.8) is better than (1.10) because the first depends on fewer parameters than the second estimate, in particular (1.7)-(1.8) does not depend on b^1. For example if some elements of sequence b^1 are large values then (1.7)-(1.8) is better than (1.10).

The second reason is that we have min in (1.7)-(1.8) instead of max in (1.10), but in the first estimate there is a sum, which gives the worst result in some cases than the second estimate.

2. For the $1D$ scalar Jacobi operator $\mathcal{A}$ we have the estimate (see e.g. [DS], [Ku], [KKr])

$$\mathrm{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))\leqslant 4|a_1a_2...a_p|^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{1.11}$$

where a_n are off-diagonal elements and b_n are diagonal elements of $\mathcal{A}$. We reach equality for the case of discrete Shrödinger operator $\mathcal{A}^0$ with $a^0_n=1$, $b^0_n=0$. The result similar to (1.11) was obtained in [PR] for general non periodic $1D$ scalar case. Recently author obtain in [Ku1] better estimate (generalized also to the vector case, when a_n, b_n are finite matrices)

$$\mathrm{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))\leqslant 4\min_n|a_n|. \tag{1.12}$$

In the present paper we apply similar technique to obtain estimates in $2D$ case. As in $1D$ case we get that the Lebesgue measure of spectrum does not depend on diagonal elements of Jacobi matrix, but there is a sum of some off-diagonal elements which was not in $1D$ scalar case. Nevertheless, the presence of the sum in (1.8) justified (see examples below for which estimates (1.7)-(1.8) are sharp).

3. Consider the important case A_n, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ are diagonal operators. In particular if A_n, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ are identical operators and B_n are discrete $1D$ Schrödinger operators then $\mathcal{J}$ becomes $2D$ discrete Schrödinger operator.

If A_n, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ are diagonal operators then all $a^1_{nm}=0$ and (1.7)-(1.8) become

$$\mathrm{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))\leqslant 4\min_\beta\sum_{n=1}^{p_1}|b^0_{n\beta}|+4\min_\alpha\sum_{m=1}^{p_2}|a^1_{\alpha m}|. \tag{1.13}$$

Note that (1.9)-(1.10) in this case become

$$\mathrm{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))\leqslant 4\max_{n,m}|a^1_{nm}|+4\max_{n,m}|b^0_{nm}|+2\max_{n,m}|b^1_{nm}|, \tag{1.14}$$

where the dependence of b^1 appears again. This means that if b^1 has some large components then (1.14) is worse than (1.13). We construct examples for which estimate (1.13) is sharp. We skip simple examples and find such examples for which the sum of p_1 or p_2 elements in (1.13) plays important role.

Example 1. Let $A_n\equiv 0$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and let

$$B_n=S+S^{-1}+4nI,\quad n\in[1,p_1],$$

where S (see after (1.3)) is a shift operator and I is identical operator, i.e. B_n is a shifted discrete $1D$ Shrödinger operator. By (1.1) the spectrum of $\mathcal{J}$ is

$$\sigma(\mathcal{J})=\bigcup_{n=1}^{p_1}\sigma(B_n)=\bigcup_{n=1}^{p_1}[-2+4n,2+4n]=[2,2+4p_1],$$

i.e. $\operatorname{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))=4p_1$. Estimate (1.13) gives us the same result $\operatorname{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))\leqslant 4p_1$.

2. Now we suppose that all $A_n\equiv I$ are identical operators and all $B_n=\operatorname{diag}(4m \mod 4p_2)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$. In this case $J(x,y)$ (2.2) depends on y only and has a form

$$J(x,y)=J(y)=\begin{pmatrix} \hat{B} & \hat{I} & 0 & ... & e^{iy}\hat{I}\\ \hat{I} & \hat{B} & \hat{I} & ... & 0\\ 0 & \hat{I} & \hat{B} & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ e^{-iy}\hat{I} & 0 & 0 & ... & \hat{B} \end{pmatrix},\quad \hat{B}=\operatorname{diag}(4m-4)_1^{p_2},\quad \hat{I}=\operatorname{diag}(1)_1^{p_2}. \tag{1.15}$$

Such $J(y)$ corresponds to the matrix-valued $1D$ Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J}_1$ with $\operatorname{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}_1))=4p_1$ (this is a direct sum of shifted Schrödinger operators, for more details see Example in [Ku1]). Then $\operatorname{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))=4p_2$ and (1.13) gives us the same result $\operatorname{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))\leqslant 4p_2$.

2. Direct integral

For any $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ we introduce matrices $\hat{A}_n\equiv\hat{A}_n(x)$ and $\hat{B}_n\equiv\hat{B}_n(x)$, $x\in[0,2\pi]$ by the following identities

$$\hat{A}_n\equiv\begin{pmatrix} a_{n1}^1 & \overline{a_{n1}^0} & 0 & ... & e^{ix}a_{np_2}^0\\ a_{n1}^0 & a_{n2}^1 & \overline{a_{n1}^0} & ... & 0\\ 0 & a_{n2}^0 & a_{n3}^1 & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ e^{-ix}\overline{a_{np_2}^0} & 0 & 0 & ... & a_{np_2}^1 \end{pmatrix},\quad \hat{B}_n\equiv\begin{pmatrix} b_{n1}^1 & \overline{b_{n1}^0} & 0 & ... & e^{ix}b_{np_2}^0\\ b_{n1}^0 & b_{n2}^1 & \overline{b_{n1}^0} & ... & 0\\ 0 & b_{n2}^0 & b_{n3}^1 & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ e^{-ix}\overline{b_{np_2}^0} & 0 & 0 & ... & b_{np_2}^1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

Also introduce the matrix $J\equiv J(x,y)$, $(x,y)\in[0,2\pi]^2$ by the following identity

$$J\equiv\begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_1 & \hat{A}_1^* & 0 & ... & e^{iy}\hat{A}_{p_1}\\ \hat{A}_1 & \hat{B}_2 & \hat{A}_2^* & ... & 0\\ 0 & \hat{A}_2 & \hat{B}_3 & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ e^{-iy}\hat{A}_{p_1}^* & 0 & 0 & ... & \hat{B}_{p_1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Now we give representation of $\mathcal{J}$ (1.1) as a direct integral of finite matrices J (2.2). Methods of the Proof of the next Theorem are similar to methods from [RS], XIII.16, p.279.

Theorem 2.1. *Operator $\mathcal{J}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $\mathscr{J}=\int_{[0,2\pi]^2}^{\oplus}J(x,y)dxdy$ acting in $\int_{[0,2\pi]^2}^{\oplus}\mathcal{H}dx$, where $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{p_1p_2}$ and matrix $J(x,y)$ is given by (2.2).*

Proof. Let unitary operator $\mathscr{F}_{p_2}:\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\to L_{p_2}^2\equiv\prod_{k=1}^{p_2}L^2(0,2\pi)$ be given by

$$\mathscr{F}_{p_2}((\hat{f}_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}})=(f_1(x),..,f_{p_2}(x)),\quad\text{where}\quad f_k(x)\equiv\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty}\hat{f}_{k+p_2m}e^{inx},\quad k\in[1,p_2]. \tag{2.3}$$

Let unitary operator $\mathbf{F}:\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z})\to\mathbf{L}^2_{p_2}\equiv\prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}L^2_{p_2}$ be given by

$$\mathbf{F}((f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}})=(\mathscr{F}_{p_2}f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}},\quad f_n\in\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}),\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.4}$$

Introduce operator

$$\mathcal{J}_1\equiv\mathbf{F}\mathcal{J}\mathbf{F}^{-1}:\mathbf{L}^2_{p_2}\to\mathbf{L}^2_{p_2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Substituting (2.3)-(2.5) into (1.1)-(1.3) and using (1.4) we deduce that $\mathcal{J}_1$ has a form

$$(\mathcal{J}_1f)_n=\hat{A}_nf_{n+1}+\hat{B}_nf_n+\hat{A}^*_{n-1}f_{n-1},\quad f=(f_n)\in\mathbf{L}^2_{p_2},\quad f_n\in L^2_{p_2},\quad n\in\mathbb{Z} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\hat{A}_n=\mathscr{F}_{p_2}A_n\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{p_2},\quad \hat{B}_n=\mathscr{F}_{p_2}B_n\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{p_2} \tag{2.7}$$

is given by (2.1). Let unitary operator $\mathbf{F}_1:\mathbf{L}^2_{p_2}\to\mathbf{L}^2\equiv\prod_{k=1}^{p_1p_2}L^2([0,2\pi]^2)$ be given by

$$\mathbf{F}_1((f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}})=(g_1,...,g_{p_1}),\quad\text{where}\quad g_k\equiv\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}f_{k+p_1n}e^{iny},\quad k\in[1,p_1], \tag{2.8}$$

here $f_n\equiv f_n(x)\in L^2_{p_2}$ for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Introduce operator

$$\mathcal{J}_2\equiv\mathbf{F}_1\mathcal{J}_1\mathbf{F}_1^{-1}:\mathbf{L}^2\to\mathbf{L}^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Substituting (2.8)-(2.9) into (2.6) we deduce that $\mathcal{J}_2$ has a form $\mathcal{J}_2((g_n)_1^{p_1p_2})=J_2(x,y)(g_n)_1^{p_1p_2}$, where $g_n\in L^2([0,2\pi]^2)$ and matrix $J(x,y)$ is given by (2.2), i.e. $\mathcal{J}_2=\mathscr{J}$. By (2.5), (2.9) we deduce that $\mathcal{J}$ unitarily equivalent to the operator $\mathscr{J}$. ■

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For any n let us denote

$$\hat{A}^0_n\equiv\begin{pmatrix} a^1_{n1} & \overline{a^0_{n1}} & 0 & ... & 0\\ a^0_{n1} & a^1_{n2} & \overline{a^0_{n1}} & ... & 0\\ 0 & a^0_{n2} & a^1_{n3} & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & a^1_{np_2}\end{pmatrix},\ \hat{A}^1_n\equiv\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & e^{ix}a^0_{np_2}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ e^{-ix}\overline{a^0_{np_2}} & 0 & 0 & ... & 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\hat{B}^0_n\equiv\begin{pmatrix} b^1_{n1} & \overline{b^0_{n1}} & 0 & ... & 0\\ b^0_{n1} & b^1_{n2} & \overline{b^0_{n1}} & ... & 0\\ 0 & b^0_{n2} & b^1_{n3} & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & b^1_{np_2}\end{pmatrix},\ \hat{B}^1_n\equiv\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & e^{ix}b^0_{np_2}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ e^{-ix}\overline{b^0_{np_2}} & 0 & 0 & ... & 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$J_0\equiv\begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}^0_1 & (\hat{A}^0_1)^* & 0 & ... & 0\\ \hat{A}^0_1 & \hat{B}_2 & (\hat{A}^0_2)^* & ... & 0\\ 0 & \hat{A}^0_2 & \hat{B}^0_3 & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & \hat{B}^0_{p_1}\end{pmatrix},\ J_1\equiv\begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}^1_1 & (\hat{A}^1_1)^* & 0 & ... & e^{iy}\hat{A}_{p_1}\\ \hat{A}^1_1 & \hat{B}^1_2 & (\hat{A}^1_2)^* & ... & 0\\ 0 & \hat{A}^1_2 & \hat{B}^1_3 & ... & 0\\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ...\\ e^{-iy}\hat{A}^*_{p_1} & 0 & 0 & ... & \hat{B}^1_{p_1}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then

$$\hat{A}_n=\hat{A}^0_n+\hat{A}^1_n,\quad \hat{B}_n=\hat{B}^0_n+\hat{B}^1_n,\quad J=J_0+J_1. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that J_0 does not depend on x,y. For any self-adjoin matrix A let us denote $A_+\equiv P_+A\geqslant 0$, $A_-\equiv -P_-A\geqslant 0$, where $P_\pm$ are projectors to positive and negative eigenspaces of A. Then

$A = A_+ - A_-$ and $|A| \equiv (AA)^{\frac{1}{2}} = A_+ + A_-$. We will write $A \geqslant B$ if $A - B \geqslant 0$, i.e. $A - B$ is positive definite self-adjoint matrix. Now we will estimate J_1. Firstly define the matrix C by

$$C = \mathrm{diag}(C_1, ..., C_{p_1}), \tag{3.5}$$

where matrices C_n is given by: for $n \in [2, p_1 - 1]$

$$C_n = |\hat{B}_n^1| + |\hat{A}_{n-1}^1| + |\hat{A}_n^1| = \mathrm{diag}(c_n, 0, .., 0, c_n), \quad c_n = |b_{np_2}^0| + |a_{np_2}^0| + |a_{n-1,p_2}^0|, \tag{3.6}$$

for $n = 1, p_1$

$$C_1 = |\hat{B}_1^1| + |\hat{A}_1^1| + D, \quad C_{p_1} = |\hat{B}_{p_1}^1| + |\hat{A}_{p_1-1}^1| + D, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$D = \mathrm{diag}(|a_{p_1m}^1|)_{m=1}^{p_2} + \mathrm{diag}(|a_{p_1m}^0|)_{m=1}^{p_2} + \mathrm{diag}(|a_{p_1p_2}^0|, |a_{p_11}^0|, .., |a_{p_1,p_2-1}^0|). \tag{3.8}$$

Note that C is a positive definite diagonal matrix does not depend on x and y.

Lemma 3.1. *The following inequalities are fulfilled*

$$-C \leqslant J_1 \leqslant C. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Note that

$$J_1 = \mathrm{diag}(\hat{B}_n^1)_1^{p_1} + \sum_{n=1}^{p_1} E_n + F_1 + F_2 + F_3, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\hat{A}_1^0)^* & 0 & ... & 0 \\ \hat{A}_1^0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\hat{A}_2^0)^* & ... & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{A}_2^0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ... \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & e^{iy}G_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ e^{-iy}G_1^* & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & e^{ix}a_{np_2}^0 \\ a_{p_11}^0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & a_{p_12}^0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & e^{iy}G_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ e^{-iy}G_2^* & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{a_{p_11}^0} & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{a_{p_11}^0} & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ e^{-ix}\overline{a_{p_1p_2}^0} & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$F_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & e^{iy}G_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ e^{-iy}G_3^* & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_3 = \mathrm{diag}(a_{p_1n}^1)_1^{p_2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Using (3.5)-(3.8) and (3.11)-(3.14) we deduce that

$$\operatorname{diag}(|\hat{B}_n^1|)_1^{p_1}+\sum_{n=1}^{p_1}|E_n|+|F_1|+|F_2|+|F_3|=C, \tag{3.15}$$

which with (3.10) gives us (3.9). ■

Let us denote eigenvalues of matrices J_0-C and J_0+C (3.3),(3.5) as

$$\lambda_1^-\leqslant...\leqslant\lambda_{p_1p_2}^- \quad\text{and}\quad \lambda_1^+\leqslant...\leqslant\lambda_{p_1p_2}^+. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. i) follows from $(3.4)_3$, and (3.9), since $J_0-C\leqslant J\leqslant J_0+C$ and $J_0\pm C$ does not depend on (x,y).

ii) Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\min_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}r_{\alpha,\beta}=r_{p_1p_2}=4\sum_{n=1}^{p_1}|b_{np_2}^0|+8\sum_{n=1}^{p_1-1}|a_{np_2}^0|+8\sum_{m=1}^{p_2}|a_{p_1m}^0|+4\sum_{m=1}^{p_2}|a_{p_1m}^1| \tag{3.17}$$

otherwise we enumerate the periodic sequences a^j,b^j, $j=0,1$. Since $\lambda_n^\pm$ does not depend on (x,y) then from (1.6) we obtain

$$\operatorname{mes}(\sigma(\mathcal{J}))\leqslant\sum_{n=1}^{p_1p_2}(\lambda_n^+-\lambda_n^-) \tag{3.18}$$

Now inequality (1.7) follows from the identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p_1p_2}(\lambda_n^+-\lambda_n^-)=2\operatorname{Tr}C=r_{p_1p_2}, \tag{3.19}$$

where we use definition before (3.16) and (3.5)-(3.8) with (3.17). ■

References

[CG] Clark, S.; Gesztesy, F. On Weyl-Titchmarsh theory for singular finite difference Hamiltonian systems, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 171 (2004) 151184.

[CGR] Clark, S.; Gesztesy, F.; Renger, W. Trace formulas and Borg-type theorems for matrix-valued Jacobi and Dirac finite difference operators. J. Diff. Eq. 219 (2005), 144–182.

[DS] P. Deift, B. Simon. Almost periodic Schrödinger operators III. The absolutely continuous spectrum in one dimension. Commun. Math. Phys., 90, 389411 (1983).

[K] Kato T. Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators. Springer (February 15, 1995).

[Ku] Kutsenko A. Estimates of Parameters for Conformal Mappings Related to a Periodic Jacobi Matrix. Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 134, Number 4 / April 2006, Pages 2295-2304.

[Ku1] Kutsenko A. Sharp spectral estimates for periodic matrix-valued Jacobi operators, preprint 2010. http://arxiv.org/abs/1007.5412

[KKr] Korotyaev, E.; Krasovsky, I. Spectral estimates for periodic Jacobi matrices, Commun. Math. Phys. 234(2003), 517-532.

[KKu] Korotyaev, E., Kutsenko, A. Lyapunov functions for periodic matrix-valued Jacobi operators, AMS translations Series 2, 225 (2008), 117-131.

[KKu1] Korotyaev, E., Kutsenko, A. Borg type uniqueness Theorems for periodic Jacobi operators with matrix valued coefficients. Proc. of the AMS, Volume 137, Number 6, June 2009, Pages 1989-1996.

[L] Y. Last. On the measure of gaps and spectra for discrete 1D Schrödinger operators. Commun. Math. Phys., 149, 347-360 (1992).

[PR] A. Poltoratski, C. Remling. Reflectionless Herglotz Functions and Jacobi Matrices, Commun. Math. Phys. Volume 288 Number 3(2009), 1007–1021.

[RS] M. Reed ; B. Simon. Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators. Academic Press, New York-London, 1978.

[S] B. Simon, Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, Part 1 and Part 2, AMS, Providence, RI, 2005.

[Te] G. Teschl, Jacobi Operators and Completely Integrable Nonlinear Lattices, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 72, AMS, Rhode Island, 2000.

[vM] P. van Moerbeke. The spectrum of Jacobi matrices. Invent. Math. 37 (1976), no. 1, 45–81.

Laboratoire de Mécanique Physique, UMR CNRS 5469, Université Bordeaux 1, Talence 33405, France, email kucenkoa@rambler.ru